

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,612	09/22/2000	G. Victor Guyan	07752.0021	1862
28164 7	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
ACCENTURE CHICAGO 28164 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P O BOX 10395			BASHORE, ALAIN L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	C 60610		1762	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · ·		
Office Action Commons	09/667,612	GUYAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alain L. Bashore	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. lety filed the mailing date of this communi (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			ts is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 40	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-17,19-31 and 33-55</u> is/are pend	ing in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6-17, 20-31, 34-55</u> is/are rejected	1.				
 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5,19 and 33</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	r election requirement				
o) are subject to reconstant and a	, ciconon requirements				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Previous office action re-issued

The previous office action is re-issued with further explanation under "response to arguments" section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8-9, 11-17, 20-23, 25-31, 34-37, and 39-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borghesi et al in view of McLauchlin et al in further view of Murcko, Jr.

Borghesi et al discloses a method, system, and medium for evaluating line item data, including displaying at least one line item (e.g., Figures 6, 7, 9-12; column 2, line 50 et seq; column 3, line 5 et seq; column 6, line 15 et seq; column 7, line 40 et seq; column 8, line 50 et seq; column 19, line 6 et seq), selection of at least one line item from a claim handler (e.g., Figures 6, 7, 8E, 8G, 9-12; column 7, line 54 et seq; column 9, line 8 et seq; column 1 l, line 65 et seq; column 12, line 37 et seq; column 14, line 35

et seg; column 17, line 30 et seg; column 19, line 6 et seg; column 19, line 35 et seg). and receiving payment execution authorization (e.g., column 15, line 1 et seg), by direct payment (e.g., column 16, line 50 et seq), vendor transfer (e.g., total loss determination) (e.g., Figure 21; column 10, line 5 et seq; column 17, line 15 et seq; column 18, line 41 et seq; column 19, line 47 et seq}, preauthorized payment (e.g., Figure 16; column 15, line 64 et seq; column 16, line 43 et seq), processor and memory (e.g., 26, 36) (Figure 2).

Page 3

Borghesi et al also discloses receiving a direct payment amount (e.g., check) (e.g., column 16, line 50 et seq; column 18, line 52 et seq), back office communication (e.g., inherently disclosed), authorizing vendor transfer of authorized vendor database (e.g., Figures 8A-8L; column 10, line 57 et seq), deduction verification (e.g., column 9, lines 33-42),), threshold amount (e.g., Figure 7; column 10, line 5 et seq; column 10, line 29 et seq), and date range (e.g., particular accident causing damage). The reference to Borghesi discloses insurance claims (col 1, lines 24-28). Item categories are disclosed (col 18, lines 50-51). The database of authorized vendors is disclosed as updated (col 14, lines 29-35).

Borghesi et al teaches accessing various databases of authorized vendors, displaying a list of authorized vendors that correspond with at least one line item, and accessing vendor data associated with the list of authorized vendors (col 12, lines 44-58). Each database inherently has a vendor and vendor data (including names of the vendors), and each vendor inherently is "authorized" (since it is in the computer).

Regarding upgrade availability, Borghesi et al discloses OEM parts which are an "upgrade" from recycled or salvaged parts (column 14, line 23 et seq)

Borghesi et al does not explicitly disclose:

receiving a selection of at least one vendor from the list of authorized vendors; and

displaying a list of excluded vendors.

McLauchlin et al discloses vendors as authorized vendors (p 10, para 0142) and lists of excluded vendors (p 11, para 0163).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include receiving a selection of at least one vendor from the list of authorized vendors to Borghesi et al because McLauchlin et al teaches that preference types (non-profit, small, 8(a) etc...) of vendors may be utilized in the procurement determination process (p 10, para 0142).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include displaying a list of excluded vendors to Borghesi et al because McLauchlin et al teaches that certain types of vendors are excluded (debarred) from the procurement determination process (p 11, para 0163).

Both Borghesi and McLaughin et al are concerned with valuation of goods and services to be procured.

Borghesi et al and McLauchlin et al do not disclose:

"preferred" vendors, vendor performance, payment only when "preferred" status:

correspondence with at least one line item regarding displaying of lists and authorizing a line item transaction;

line item payment authorization of receiving selection of at least one line item and form of payment and authorizing a transaction by EFT and check

date range for which payment will be made;

payment frequency and number of payments;

receiving new vendor identifying information, receiving vendor authorization data, and incorporating the new vendor into the database

Murcko, Jr discloses "preferred" (exceptional) vendors, vendor performance, payment only when "preferred" status (col 24, line 65), correspondence with at least one line item regarding displaying of lists and authorizing a line item transaction (col 24, lines 45-67; col 25, lines 1-25), line item payment authorization of receiving selection of at least one line item and form of payment and authorizing a transaction by EFT and

check (col 38, lines 60-63), date range for which payment will be made (col 36, lines 18-65), payment frequency and number of payments (col 8, lines 28-33), receiving new vendor identifying information, receiving vendor authorization data, and incorporating the new vendor into the database (col 32, lines 1-19),

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include "preferred" (exceptional) vendors, vendor performance, payment only when "preferred" status because Murcko, Jr teaches services rendered only for exception service (col 24, 45-67).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include correspondence with at least one line item regarding displaying of lists and authorizing a line item transaction because Murcko, Jr teaches specific service rendered for specific payment rendered (col 24, lines 45-67).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include line item payment authorization of receiving selection of at least one line item and form of payment and authorizing a transaction by EFT and check because Murcko, Jr teaches payment needed for revenue stream (col 37, lines 64-65).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include date range for which payment will be made because Murcko, Jr teaches payments are known to be delayed (col 36, lines 25-35).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include payment frequency and number of payments because Murcko, Jr teaches quality measurement linked to frequency (col 8, lines 28-30).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include receiving new vendor identifying information, receiving vendor authorization data, and incorporating the new vendor into the database because Murcko, Jr teaches buyers of services require seler information (col 32, lines 15-20).

3. Claims 10, 24, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borghesi et al in view of McLauchlin et al in further view of Murcko, Jr. as applied to claims above, and further in view of DiRienzo et al.

Borghesi et al do not disclose electronic fund transfer.

DiRienzo et al discloses a method, system, and medium for evaluating line item data, including payment by check and electronic funds transfer (e.g., column 22, line 12

Art Unit: 1762

et seq). Electronic funds transfer provides a well-known equivalent means of settling claims (e.g., column 22, line 16 et seq).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide for claim adjudication and settlement via electronic funds transfer, in addition to payment by check, as taught by DiRienzo et al, on the method, system, and medium of Borghesi et al for the purposes of facilitating a claim settlement (e.g., to expedite settlement).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since McLauchlin et al discloses contract line item for services and discloses encompassing "all aspects of the purchasing life cycle" (para 0004), it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include insurance claims and line items of an insurance claim.

Borghesi et al discloses "authorized" vendors per se.

Since McLauchin et al teaches the "entire life cycle", this encompasses salvage.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,612 Page 9

Art Unit: 1762

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5, 19, 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Allowable subject matter requires a second level review for applications in class 705 before a notice of allowance is mailed to applicant. The time period for review may vary from application to application.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Regarding all Class 705 applications, the management contact regarding examination is: Vincent Millin (SPE, art unit 3624) at 571-272-6747.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,612 Page 10

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1762